REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 25 August 2004. Responsive to the rejection made in the Official Action, Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the recitation of elements which form the invention of the subject Patent Application. Additionally, Claim 2 has been canceled.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 4, as originally filed, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Morris, et al. (U.S. Patent #4,945,849). Claims 1, 3, and 4, as originally filed, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lo (U.S. Patent #6,651,576) in view of Morris, et al. However, the Examiner stated that Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Therefore, Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of Claim 2 therein, thereby affectively rewriting Claim 2 in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim, Claim 1, and any intervening claims, which there were none.

MR1035-1382 Application Serial No. 10/772,462 Responsive to Office Action dated 25 August 2004

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application is in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FOR: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

Morton J. Rosenberg Registration #26,049

Dated: 4 Oclober 2004

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee 3458 Ellicott Center Drive Suite 101 Ellicott City, MD 21043 410-465-6678

Customer No. 04586